

Leaders in Transition: A Comparative Study of Military and Political Figures during Epochal Transformations

Li Minggang

St. Mark's School

E-mail: minggangli1030@gmail.com

Introduction

"Empires wax and wane; states cleave asunder and coalesce." Such is the opening line of one of the greatest Chinese novels on war, *The Romance of the Three Kingdoms*. There existed two periods of the Roman government. The Roman Republic existed between 509 BCE to 30 BCE, and the Roman Empire between 30 BCE and 476 CE. Technically, Rome had an empire during the Roman Republic. However, the word "Roman Empire" defines the period when Augustus was the emperor, and during that period, Rome was led by emperors. Octavian became the sole leader of Rome when his army defeated Cleopatra and Antony during the Actium battle. The Roman government senate named him Augustus, which is referred to as the highest person. Octavian, known as Augustus, was made the Consul for life through the Senate. He was also made to be the princeps, which referred to the first citizen; imperator, which meant the victorious general; and pontifex Maximus, which referred to him as the chief religious leader of the emperor. Pompey was a robust military figure during the fall of the Roman Republic, and Julius Caesar was known for power consolidation. In China, Sun Yat-sen was behind the modernization process and the decline of the Qing dynasty. Yuan Shikai attempted to centralize the Chinese government through the military, and Mao Zedong led the PRC in stabilizing China by winning over Chiang Kai-Shek. Through an examination of the military and political leaders during the pivotal transitions from the fall of the Roman Republic to the rise of the Roman Empire and from the fall of the Qing Dynasty to the height of the People of the Republic of China, this essay uncovers intriguing parallels and distinct divergences in their actions, motivations, and impacts. By analyzing the rise to power, leadership styles, consolidation of authority, military campaigns, and legacies of leaders in these historical contexts, we gain insight into the challenges and complexities leaders face navigating periods of profound societal change and the historical inevitability of war.

Contextual Background

The decline of the Republic of Rome and the subsequent rise of the Roman Empire was a transition period in ancient Rome history, accompanied by a series of military, social, and political developments that changed the Roman government. The Roman Republic existed between 509 BCE to 30 BCE, and the Roman Empire between 30 BCE and 476 CE. Technically, Rome had an empire during the Roman Republic (Burden-Stevens 12). However, the word "Roman Empire" defines the period when Augustus was the emperor, and during that period, Rome was led by emperors. Emperors Augustus and the emperors after him attempted to keep the façade that they were chosen as officials, not dictators.

The phrase "first among equals" was used to justify that the Roman Emperor was an important and prestigious member of the Senate. However, all the senators were regarded as significant. Roman emperors led the Empire with little contribution from other people. The Qing Dynasty, established during the 17th century, ruled the Chinese government for nearly three centuries. The Manchu ethnic group established the Dynasty. The Qing empire was characterized by relative stability, territorial expansion, and prosperity during its early times. Despite the prosperity, it later experienced external threats and internal decay.

Decline of the Roman Republic

The economic reasons behind the fall of the Roman Republic were the disparity between the poor and the rich. Rome was divided according to social class, where there existed the haves and the have-nots (Watts 8). Also, there was a trade and manufacturing decline since a small number of people of lower socioeconomic status could buy goods, which decreased purchasing power. The large estates also became self-sufficient, and it also hindered trade and the manufacturing process. The improvised workers in Rome were tied to the acres of land as colonists. The military reasons that led to the fall of the Roman Republic included the over-reliance on the barbarian armies who were not entirely loyal to Rome; they were also not relied on since they were fighting their fellow barbarians and they were much interested in acquiring booty and not fighting for Rome. Furthermore, the Roman Republic's military personnel were Roman servants, and the Roman Empire military unmade and made emperors (Taylor 76).

Politically, there was a decline in patriotism since democracy was not there, the Roman citizens lost their rights to vote for the state, patriotism was based on loyalty to the ruling Emperor and not the Country, and most emperors did not command respect. The creation of two empires also created loyalty challenges. Politically, the disorderly successions characterized by civil wars, forced suicides, and murders led to the decline of the Republic. Socially, war, plagues, and hunger led to population decline. There was also a decreased intellectual culture and reduced public service activities. Instead, most citizens used leisure time to watch gladiatorial contests and chariot races. There were religious divisions where emerging religious domains such as Christianity challenged imperial divinity. Even though the Roman Republic served for many centuries, it was divided by the tensions within the government. Civil wars started between teams with distinct loyalties; they accelerated the change from a Republic to an Empire.

Rise of Rome as an Empire

The rise of the Roman Empire started during the Augustus period. Augustus took a leadership role after the death of Caesar. When Caesar died before Augustus, Rome experienced an increased rate of civil battles, making Marcus Lepidus, Octavian, and Mark Antony form a Second Triumvirate. This alliance objected to the return of order. Octavian defeated Cleopatra and Antony in 31 BCE in the Actium war and thus made Rome an Empire. Augustus initiated different reforms during his leadership to stabilize the economy and ensured Pax Romana, which was responsible for protecting the citizens against hunger and integrating peace.



The Roman Empire Map, history, facts and Timeline (Photo by BBC History Magazine)

Background of the fall of the Qing Dynasty and the emergence of the Republic of China

The Fall of the Qing Dynasty

The Qing Dynasty of 1644 to 1912 was the last Dynasty of China. Manchu ethnic group created it after overthrowing the Ming Dynasty. Qing Dynasty enjoyed stability and expansion over different ethnic groups and a vast territory (Tseng 27). Internal problems that faced the Dynasty included inefficiency and corruption due to expansion and population increase, which caused increased strain on the available resources, thus social unrest and famines. There were increased rebellions to the Dynasty, like the Taiping Rebellion of 1850 to 1864 and the Boxer Rebellion of 1899 to 1901 (Ramírez Ruiz and Niu 141). The external challenges that affected the Qing Dynasty were unequal treaties imposed on China's government by foreign countries that eroded China's territorial integrity and sovereignty.

Emergence of the Republic of China

Western power's imperialism and Japan's encroachment lead to wars such as the Opium Wars of 1839 to 1842 and 1856 to 1860. Chinese government officials adopted modernization strategies in response to imperialism, such as infrastructure and military modernization, but they were inefficient and limited to enhancing change. Reform movements emerged where reformers and scholars, including Liang Qichao and Kang Yuwei, were attributed for sweeping cultural, political, and social reforms to develop China. The Xinhai Revolution of 1911 led to Changes in the governance of China (Ferrer 1148). There was an emergence of a coalition of military officers, nationalists, and reformers led by political figures such as Sun Yat-sen, who aimed to overthrow the Dynasty. The coalition spread in China and abducted Puyi, the last Qing Emperor, in 1912 (Breslauer 132).

The Republic of China was created in 1912, and Sun-Yat was made the initial provisional President. The establishment of the Republic ended the Dynasty's reign in China, thus creating a new system of Republican governance.



Republic of China (1912-1949)

Overview of Military and Political Leaders

Leaders During the Roman Transition

Julius Caesar

Caesar was among the legendary leaders of the Roman Empire. Caesar played a crucial part in the transition of Rome from the Roman Republic to Rome as an Empire. While he rose to power, there was a combination of charisma, political maneuvering, and military prowess. His political career started after he was elected as a consul around 59 BCE (Bondioli et al.). Caesar created the First Triumvirate, which was an alliance that was informal together with Crassus and Pompey. Caesar carried out various military campaigns to expand the Roman Empire as a proconsul in Gaul. The movements in Modern-day France, such as the conquest of Helvetii and the war of Alesia, made him popular. Tensions between the Senate and Caesar in 49 BC, led by the conservative faction and Pompey, resulted in a civil war. Caesar popularly crossed the river Rubicon, going against the laws of the land, and marched to the Roman Empire. He consolidated authority after emerging as the winner in the civil war. He was appointed dictator in perpetuity, thus closing the chapter of the Republican system. Caesar was assassinated in 44 BCE. His important economic transformation was grain purchase regulation, and he conducted the redistribution of land to the poor. Everyone would get adequate food to eat under his reign.

Pompey

Gnaeus Pompeius Magnus was a formidable political figure and military general at the time of the fall of the Roman Republic. Pompey's military victories, such as the victory against the Mediterranean pirates and the Eastern campaigns, gave him the name Magnus, which meant the Great (Antonio et al. 710). Rivalry and political ambitions with Julius Caesar caused complications in the authority struggle in the Roman Republic. At first, he was with the conservative faction and the Senate, and they were against the rising popularity gained by Caesar (Barca).

The desire to triumph caused tensions in the Senate. Pompey was defeated during the Pharsalus war and escaped to Egypt, where he was assassinated. He was known for using military power to expand the Roman territories in Italy.



Pompey the Great: Statesman and General for Rome (Photo by Britannica)

Augustus (Octavian)

Gaius Octavius Thurinus was a crucial leader in the transition of the Roman political landscape. He was instrumental in the foundation of the creation of the Roman Empire. When Caesar was assassinated in 44 BCE, Augustus came up as a strong person in power (Bertrand 24). Together with Marcus Lepidus and Mark Antony, they created the Second Triumvirate, and the coalition enabled them to take revenge for Caesar's assassinations and take control of power. The alliance was later disbanded, and civil wars were experienced in the Empire (Patterson 94). After defeating Cleopatra and Mark Antony, he became the Roman Empire's sole ruler. Skillfully, he could keep Republican institutions appearance, but silently, he consolidated power and authority. Augustus was a personal proclaimed name when he took the throne as the first Emperor of Rome. The Principate was Augustus' Rule, which marked the transformation from a Republican to an Empire (Markov 297). Under Augustus' leadership, various reforms, such as creating a professional army, were brought on board. Augustus introduced the inheritance and tax laws to improve morality. He was against adultery and awarded families with three children and above.

Leaders During Chinese Transition

Yuan Shikai

Yuan was famous during the last years of the Qing Dynasty. His military prowess was seen after he suppressed the Boxer Rebellion. His political ambitions were marked by his contributions to stabilize China and expand its territories. He was appointed the President of RoC, but his leadership style aimed to consolidate power and authoritarian tendencies (LI 283). The attempt he made to reinstate monarchy led to rebellion and inability. Salvation through political centralization to constitutional monarchy influenced his monarchy restoration decision.

Sun Yat-sen

Sun was a revolutionary ruler who overthrew the Qing Dynasty and formed China as a Republican. Sun was very determined to bring the Dynasty regime to an end. Sun was behind the formulation of the Three Principles of the People, which were livelihood, democracy, and nationalism, and these formed the basis of the revolutionary campaign (Jie 56). Sun's leadership style encompassed a visionary focus on bringing modern development to China. His inauguration as the Republic of China (RoC) provisional President was done after the decline of the Qing Dynasty in 1912 (Coddy 778). As the President of China, he encountered challenges such as regional divisions and warlords.

Sun Yat Sen's attempt at revolution had built the foundation on which the current PRC stands. Sun funded revolutions and advocated for Chinese revolutions. He organized campaigns to rebel against the Qing Dynasty.

Mao Zedong

Mao founded the People's Republic of China (PRC). During the Civil War, he was known for his guerrilla tactics, the Famous Long March, and strategic retreats that positioned him as a strong leader. His leadership at the PRC was marked by Marxism-Leninism ideological commitment and capability to mobilize followers. He was the leader of the Chinese Communist Party (CCP) in the protracted guerilla warfare against Chiang Kai-Shek's Nationalist government (ROC) (Kulacki 352). As the leader of the PRC, transformations were seen, such as the Great Leap Forward. He was behind the launch of the reconstruction campaign of China to become an industrialized country from an agrarian economy.

Parallels and Contrasts

A comprehensive analysis of these transition leaders and the courses of action they adopted during their leadership identifies intriguing contrasts and parallels. Some leaders attempted to reform and overturn the original political system. Julius Caesar overthrew the Roman Republic and tried and succeeded in establishing a new political system, the Roman Empire (Madsen 264). During the transition period, Caesar experienced an increased rate of rebellion from the entrenched elites in the Empire.

Still, he used military force to curb the opposition, and he was able to create a Roman Empire. Sun Yat-sen of China, on the other side, overthrew the Qing Dynasty, and his attempts to create a new political system in China were successful. The Qing Dynasty had ruled China for over three decades, and Sun was interested and ambitious in changing the governance of China (Chen et al. 289). The Qing Dynasty, however, had conquered different territories, and it took a lot of work for Sun to accomplish his mission. In the process, he experienced rebellion from foreign countries and division of territories, but he successfully changed China's political system to the RoC. Under the leaders who preferred the old political system and their crafty use of the military to gain power, Pompey's support of optimates (a conservative faction of the Roman Senate) and his gain of power through military conquest (old power), defeated by Caesar is parallel to Yuan Shikai's rise of power through military, his attempt to reinstate monarchy in China.

By self-proclaiming himself as the Emperor, China eventually fell due to opposition. It is evident that both Yuan Shikai and Pompey supported the already-formed elites, and they were for the old political system. However, the main objective of Pompey was to keep the already existing republican order in Rome, but Yuan aimed at the process of restoration of the monarchical reign in China. Also, it was clear that Pompey had initial support from the Senate. However, Yuan's efforts were challenged by increased opposition in the territory, and thus it failed. Leaders came to the top after the tumultuous civil war and eventually stabilized the Country through the military.

Augustus (Octavian)'s success in winning the civil war against Mark Antony, his role in establishing and stabilizing the political system (Roman Empire) is similar to Mao Zedong's rise to power through his control of the military (Red Army), the defeat of Chiang Kai-shek (RoC), the establishment of PRC and stabilize the Country (Tong and Haijian 183). Both Mao Zedong and Augustus triumphed in the civil wars they were fighting and were vital in ensuring their countries were stable. They all relied on military loyalty to get their positions and control the territories.

However, while Augustus kept the façade of the institutions created by the Republicans while creating a centralized autocracy, Mao conducted open communist ideology and one-party Rule. While Mao's leadership witnessed increased ideological upheaval and turmoil, Augustus' reign saw increased prosperity and stability in the Roman Empire. Mao and Augustus experienced opposition during their Rule but defeated their rivals. Augustus was victorious over Mark Antony, and Mao defeated Chiang Kai-Sheks Nationalists. They all applied army forces to consolidate their Rule.

Legacies and Long-Term Impact

The legacies left behind by these transition leaders had lasting and profound impacts on the societies. The lasting influence of Julius Caesar and Sun Yat-sen: ending of the old political system (Roman Republic and Qing Dynasty). The overthrowing of the Roman Republic by Caesar marked an essential change in Roman governance. Augustus initiated the Empire's development and civilization at large, and the civilization remained a landmark. Sun Yat-sen's legacy is evident just from the name he was given, "Father of Modern China" ("On the Reasons that Christians Supported the Revolution of 1911 Led by Dr. Sun Yat-sen" 93). His efforts aimed at modernizing China's social and political systems made China remain one of the most industrialized countries in the world (Jan 149). His three principles of people, livelihood, democracy, and nationalism remained influential.

The two also left long-term impacts where Augustus made the Roman Empire rule the entire globe. Roman governance, law, and culture were spread throughout the Mediterranean and Europe. The administrative and legal systems of the Empire, together with their architectural achievements and cultural growth, remained influential to the next generations of civilizations. On the other hand, Sun's vision of democratic and modern China had a long-term impact on the Country's politics. It motivated the next generation of leaders, such as the Chinese Communist Party and Chinese Nationalist Party (KMT).

Pompey and Yuan Shikai's attempt to take over through the military and their failed attempt led to their respective downfall and hurt the Country, which remained legacies and had long-term impacts. Pompey failed to consolidate power and keep the Roman Republican system of leadership, thus leading to the fall of the Roman Republic. Rivalry and conflicts with Augustus led to political divisions in Rome. Yuan Shikai's plan to create a new Chinese monarchy by self-proclamation led to increased opposition, and the government's legitimacy was destroyed by his actions (Yang 996). The struggle for leadership between Caesar and Pompey led to the fall of the Republic, and the Roman Empire emerged. Moreover, the power struggle created a way to establish the autocratic Rule in the Empire. The actions of the Yuan had a long-term impact on China since the fall of the monarchy weakened the Presidency institution.

Augustus and Mao Zedong's stabilization of the Country, ending the civil wars, and establishing new political regimes created legacies and long-term impacts on the Roman Empire and the Chinese government. The legacy left behind by Augustus remains to be the transition of Rome from a Republic to an Empire ("Plutarch and the Late Republican Civil Wars" 335). Through Pax Romana, he created stability, which led to the prosperity of the Empire. He laid the stone for the strong governance of the Roman Empire, marked with centralized bureaucracy. The legacy of Mao was unifying China and changing the Social-political Landscape of China. His reign created the foundation on which the People's Republic of China rested. The long-term impact left by Augustus on the Roman Empire was influencing civilization, which was transferred to the next generations. The Imperial Rule developed by Augustus shaped the governance rule for the next emperors. Mao impacted the political atmosphere of China by championing the formation of a single-party state.

Lessons and Reflections

Different reflections and lessons are learned from analyzing transitional leadership and the leaders responsible for the transformations. The first lesson is similarities in gaining power through military prowess: "Political power grows out of the barrel of a gun" by Mao. This statement aligns with the historical leaders' behaviors during the transition. From how some of them acquired power, using the armed forces can sometimes acquire political power. In cases where opposition is high, the military can be used to gain governance. Transitional leaders such as Yuan Shikai, Pompey, Caesar, and Mao used the military to attain their leadership ambitions.

Moreover, in the inevitability of wars after a long period of stability: "Those long divided shall be united; those long united shall be divided: such is the way of the universe" from Romance of the Three Kingdoms" statement implies that, transformations are achieved when there is long political stability, and some can be attained when parties disagree. China's transformation is a true lesson that for prosperity to be witnessed, there comes a series of struggles and battles between the ruling class and those seeking transformation. Sun had to fight the Dynasty to achieve China's modernization process (Liu 141). Through his efforts and struggles with the Dynasty, stability was conducted in China, and the Country embarked on a tremendous modernization process but, of course, accompanied by opposition and division of the Chinese territory.

Conclusion

The analysis of the political and military leadership during epochal transformations like the rise of the Roman Empire and the fall of the Roman Republic shows challenges in transition. The decline of the Qing Dynasty resulting in the Republic of China shows the complexities and problems leaders face during essential leadership transitions. Looking at the discussed leaders, such as Augustus of the Roman Empire, it is evident that during the transition period, they went through challenges that called for courage and leadership tactics to overcome them. Military skill is a core component of leadership since it can be utilized to end dominant regimes such as the Qing Dynasty of China. Contrasts and parallels among the historical transitions show the motivations and tactics of visionary leaders.

Visionary leaders who are after change, patience during turbulent periods for the leaders, and the consequences of their actions determine their success. Augustus enabled the transformation of Rome from a republic to an empire. Sun Yat-sen is considered the father of modernization in China just from his visionary skills and need for industrialization. History shows that leadership is essential in determining a country's destiny. Research about transitional leaders enriches our understanding of leadership dynamics during transition. The implications from the scenarios of these transitions are that change of governance can lead to the prosperity of a nation. China, through Sun, became industrialized until now due to efforts for modernization.

Reforms by Augustus improved the living conditions of people in the Roman Empire. Future leaders must learn patient and consistent efforts to bring change to their nations.

References

- Antonio, Margaret L., et al. "Ancient Rome: A genetic crossroads of Europe and the Mediterranean." *Science*, vol. 366, no. 6466, 2019, pp. 708-714, www.academia.edu/42665239/Ancient_Rome_A_genetic_crossroads_of_Europe_and_the_Mediterranean.
- Barca, Natale. *Before Augustus: The Collapse of the Roman Republic*. Casemate, 2023, worldcat.org/en/title/1389808915.
- Bertrand, Estelle. "Imperialism and the Crisis of the Roman Republic: Dio's View on Late Republican Conquests (Books 36–40)." *Cassius Dio and the Late Roman Republic*, 2019, pp. 19-35, bmc.brynmawr.edu/2020/2020.05.29/.
- Bondioli, Nelson, et al. "History, design and archaeology: The reception of Julius Caesar and the representation of gender and agency in Assassin's Creed Origins." *In die Skriflig / In Luce Verbi*, vol. 53, no. 2, 2019, indieskriflig.org.za/index.php/skriflig/article/view/2431/5904.
- Breslauer, George W. "Consolidating Power and "Building Socialism" in China." *The Rise and Demise of World Communism*, 2021, pp. 126-134, academic.oup.com/book/40007/chapter/340344063.
- Burden-Stevens, Christopher. "Cassius Dio's Speeches and the Collapse of the Roman Republic." 2020, brill.com/display/title/38725?language=en.
- Chen, Kun, et al. "Song Brocade in the Ming and Qing Dynasties." *Clothing and Textiles Research Journal*, vol. 38, no. 4, 2020, pp. 285-297, www.researchgate.net/publication/357895082_The_Innovative_Practice_of_Artificial_Intelligence_in_the_Inheritance_of_Chinese_Xiangjin_Art.
- Croddy, Eric. "Republic of China (Taiwan)." *China's Provinces and Populations*, 2022, pp. 777-811, link.springer.com/chapter/10.1007/978-3-031-09165-0_35.
- Ferrer, Alessandra. "A post-imperial, pluralist nationalism? From the Five Nation Republic of China to multicultural Taiwan." *Nations and Nationalism*, vol. 29, no. 3, 2023, pp. 1142-1157, onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/abs/10.1111/nana.12946.
- Jan, George P. "The Doctrine of Nationalism and the Chinese Revolution." *Sun Yat-sen's Doctrine in the Modern World*, 2019, pp. 138-159, www.taylorfrancis.com/books/edit/10.4324/9780429307935/sun-yat-sen-doctrine-modern-world-chu-yuan-cheng-yu-long-ling-hung-chao-tai-harold-schiffriin.
- Jie, Wang. "Sun Yat-sen studies." *Contemporary Studies on Modern Chinese History III*, 2021, pp. 36-70, cir.nii.ac.jp/crid/1130287351415259269.
- Kulacki, Gregory. "Nuclear Weapons in the Taiwan Strait Part II." *Journal for Peace and Nuclear Disarmament*, vol. 3, no. 2, 2020, pp. 342-365, www.tandfonline.com/doi/full/10.1080/25751654.2020.1834962.
- LI, HUAIYIN. "Centralized Regionalism: The rise of regional fiscal-military states in China, 1916–28." *Modern Asian Studies*, vol. 55, no. 1, 2020, pp. 253-291, www.cambridge.org/core/journals/modern-asian-studies/article/centralized-regionalism-the-rise-of-regional-fiscal-military-states-in-china-191628/342F93D33C8532B04FB26496B524FFE7.
- Liu, Shih-Diing. "Book review: Illiberal China: The Ideological Challenge of the People's Republic of China." *China Information*, vol. 34, no. 1, 2020, pp. 140-141, www.academia.edu/42072733/China_Information_Article_Information_Book_review_Illiberal_China_The_Ideological_Challenge_of_the_Peoples_Republic_of_China.
- Madsen, Jesper M. "Like Father Like Son: the Differences in How Dio Tells the Story of Julius Caesar and His More Successful Son." *Cassius Dio and the Late Roman Republic*, 2019, pp. 259-281, bmc.brynmawr.edu/2020/2020.05.29/.
- Markov, Konstantin V. "Towards the Conceptualization of Cassius Dio's Narration of the Early Career of Octavian." *Cassius Dio and the Late Roman Republic*, 2019, pp. 282-298, www.academia.edu/94061374/Studia_Dionea_novissima_Historical_Narrative_Interpretations_of_the_Past_and_Political_Contexts_of_Cassius_Dio_s_Roman_History_Part_II.
- "On the Reasons that Christians Supported the Revolution of 1911 Led by Dr. Sun Yat-sen." *Yearbook of Chinese Theology*, 2020, pp. 89-102, bdconline.net/en/stories/sun-yat-sen.
- Patterson, John. "Military organization and social change in the later Roman Republic." *War and Society in the Roman World*, 2020, pp. 92-112, www.researchgate.net/publication/342791466_Military_organization_and_social_change_in_the_later_Roman_Republic.
- "Plutarch and the Late Republican Civil Wars." *The Historiography of Late Republican Civil War*, 2019, pp. 320-350, eprints.ncl.ac.uk/272892.

- Ramírez Ruiz, Raúl, and Guanjie Niu. "Spain in China." *Examining Colonial Wars and Their Impact on Contemporary Military History*, 2023, pp. 132-147, www.igi-global.com/book/examining-colonial-wars-their-impact/305808.
- Taylor, Michael J. "Tactical Reform in the Late Roman Republic: The View from Italy." *Historia*, vol. 68, no. 1, 2019, p. 76, www.academia.edu/22819197/Tactical_Reform_in_the_Late_Roman_Republic_The_View_from_Italy.
- Tong, Liu, and Mao Haijian. "Military history." *Contemporary Studies on Modern Chinese History I*, 2020, pp. 173-189, www.taylorfrancis.com/chapters/edit/10.4324/9781351269407-6/military-history-liu-tong-mao-haijian.
- Tseng, Hui-Yi K. "Sovereignty as the Axis of State-Making: the Re-sovereignisation of the Republic of China/Taiwan's South China Sea Claim." *International Journal of Taiwan Studies*, 2023, pp. 1-32, brill.com/view/journals/ijts/aop/article-10.1163-24688800-20231250/article-10.1163-24688800-20231250.xml.
- Watts, Edward J. "The Eternal Decline and Fall of Rome." 2021, worldcat.org/title/1178641721.
- Yang, Tianzhi. "The Inevitability of the Civil War: The Popularis and the Optimates." *Advances in Social Science, Education and Humanities Research*, 2022, www.atlantispress.com/proceedings/icpahd-21/125969535.